

Hovering or Grounded?: Exploring Helicopter Parenting as a Valid Construct

Adam M. Volungis (Ph.D.), Suyi Liu (B.A.), Dena Whittle (B.S.),
Sherliz Henriquez, & Katherine Schmidt

Presented at the 85th Annual Convention of the Eastern Psychological Association
Boston, MA, March 14th, 2014



Department of Psychology
Assumption College



What's The Big Deal?

- Is helicoptering parenting really a unique dimension that deserves further attention?
 - A consequence of media sensationalistic reporting?
- Helicopter parenting may not have negative outcomes; in fact, perhaps positive outcomes (Fingerman et al., 2012; Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012)
- Or maybe, there are consistent, long-term negative effects from helicopter parenting (LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011; Padilla-Walker & Neslon, 2012)
- Why such varying differences in findings?
 - Media's negatively biased portrayal of parenting?
 - (In)validity of helicopter parenting as a construct?



Presence in the Media

- Extreme Cases:
 - The Boston Globe
 - BU
 - BC
 - Simmons
 - NBC News
 - Reed Family
 - CNN
 - Parental Interference with job interviews



The Boston Globe

The
New York
Times



Associated Negative Effects



- NBC News:
 - “Baby on Board” generation
 - Children’s loss of competence
 - Contagious
- CNN
 - “my dad thinks”

Popular Media Portrayal

“Blackhawk,” “Stealth Fighter,”
“Hovercrafts,” “Hummingbirds,”
“Hovering,” “Intrusive parenting,” “Over-
parenting,” “Over-involved parenting,”
“Over Protective parenting,” “Over-
solicitous Parenting,” “Intense Support”

Three Major Themes of Helicopter Parenting

- “Intrusiveness” “Hovering” contact and guidance in multiple areas (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012)
- “Over-” “Fighter” strong concern in future success in education & career (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012; LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011)
- “Support” “Protection” benevolent intentions NOT manipulation (Segrin, et al. 2012)

Operationalization Measures

- Parental Involvement in decision making (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012)
- Frequency of different forms of parental support (Fingerman et al. 2012)
- Children's perception of parental control (LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011)
- Parenting style, Family environment, Parent-child communication, etc. (Segrin, et al. 2012)

Outcome Measures

- (1) **Sense of well-being** (Fingerman et al. 2012 & LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011)
- (2) **Sense of identity as adult** (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012)
 - Developmental demands (Emerging adulthood)
- (3) **Social relationships** (Segrin, et al. 2012)
- (4) **Academic & Career outcomes** (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012 & LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011)

Cultural or Maladaptive?

- **Well-Being**

- Guided by cultural expectations
- The root of childrearing practices

- **Subjective**

- Varies across cultural beliefs

- **Disparity**

- What is normative in one culture may be inappropriate in another.
- Hence, “helicopter parenting” may be viewed differently in various cultural context

Parents' View

- **Cultural Norms & Experiences**

- Cognitive Appraisals
- Emotions
- Relational Schemas

(Ingen, More & Fuemmeler, 2008)

- **Motivating Factors**

- Parents desire for child to succeed
- Unique cultural cues that promise success

(Segrin et al, 2012)

For Example: Chinese Culture

- Importance of education
- Respect authority
- Devotion to parents

(Campen & Russell, 2010)

Child's View

- **Perception of Parental Control**
 - Some aspects of “parental control” can only be evaluated by the **child's personal experience** (Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012)
 - Subjective experience
 - Cultural norms

For example: Chinese Culture

- Relationship with mother is based on respect and honor for elders and obligation to family

(Campen & Russell, 2010)

Questions to Consider

- Can we accurately define helicopter parenting?
- Is it universally applicable?
 - If “No,” how to move forward/future considerations
- Does it even exist?
 - Conflicting research findings
 - Adaptive/Maladaptive
 - Rehashing of previous terms?
 - Authoritarian